

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ew

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/814,122	04/01/2004	Takayuki Kondo	119127	119127 8020	
25944 7	590 09/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			MOONEY, MICHAEL P		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2883		
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/814,122	KONDO, TAKAYUKI					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael P. Mooney	2883					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date 							
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS							
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further content (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 	s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-17 and 19. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ills to provide a (1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after t	entry is below or attac	nea.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In the 3/10/06 non-final Office action, the subject matter (SM) of dependent claim 8 was objected to as allowable subject matter when the said SM depended from claims that contained different SM than the SM presented in the 9/14/06 version of independent claim 18. The 9/14/06 version of independent claim 18 raises new issues requiring further consideration in order to evaluate the allowability of the 9/14/06 version of independent claim 18.

9/25/06

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fo